	FILED - CLERK 1 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS UL 14 FM 3:59
2	BEAUMONT DIVISION TX EASTERN-BEAUMONT
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * DOCKET NO. 1:01CR31
4	* BY
5	* 9:05 A.M., NOVEMBER 26, 2001 * *
6	JOSEPH FRANKLIN SMITH * BEAUMONT, TEXAS
7	
8	VOLUME 1 OF 1, PAGES 1 THROUGH 26 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA HEARING
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. SCHELL UNITED STATES DISTRICT
10	ONTIED STATES DISTRICT
11	
12	A DDEAD ANGEO.
13	APPEARANCES:
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: TRACEY M. BATSON ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY 350 MAGNOLIA, SUITE 150
15	BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77701
16	TONE M. TONE M
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: TONI M. TRIPLETT EDMOND O'SUJI
18	ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1919 NORTH LOOP WEST, SUITE 395
19	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77018
20	
21	
22	
23	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED USING COMPUTERIZED STENOTYPE, TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED VIA COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION.
24	
25	



2 NOVEMBER 26, 2001 9:05 A.M. 1 [CALL TO ORDER OF THE COURT] 2 [OPEN COURT, DEFENDANT PRESENT] 3 THE COURT: SCHEDULED FOR TRIAL TODAY IS THE CASE 4 OF THE UNITED STATES VERSUS JOSEPH FRANKLIN SMITH. COUNTS 4 5 AND 5 OF THE INDICTMENT HAVE NOT BEEN TRIED BECAUSE THEY WERE SEVERED WHEN WE TRIED COUNTS 1, 2, AND 3 EARLIER IN THE YEAR. 7 TRACY BATSON IS THE ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY ON 8 THIS CASE, AND TONI TRIPLETT IS THE DEFENSE LAWYER. 9 AND MY QUESTION IS TO MS. TRIPLETT. WHAT IS 10 MR. SMITH GOING TO DO? 11 MS. TRIPLETT: YOUR HONOR, IT IS MY BELIEF THAT WE 12 WILL BE MAKING A PLEA IN THIS MATTER; BUT I NEED A FEW MORE 13 MINUTES TO SPEAK WITH MY CLIENT AND MS. BATSON. WE'RE TRYING 14 TO SEE IF WE CAN RESOLVE A FEW ISSUES THAT ARE A HOLDUP STILL 15 ON THIS MATTER. AND I DID CALL HER SECRETARY THIS WEEK AND 16 INFORM HER OF THAT, THAT I BELIEVE WE MAY BE ABLE TO WORK THIS 17 OUT THIS MORNING. 18 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, WERE YOU IN THE PROCESS OF 19 TALKING WHEN I CAME IN? 20 MS. TRIPLETT: YEAH. I WAS TALKING WITH 21 MR. SMITH, AND THEN I NEED TO SPEAK WITH MS. BATSON. 22 THE COURT: HOW MUCH MORE TIME DO YOU NEED? 23 MS. TRIPLETT: I WOULD SAY ABOUT 15 MINUTES, 24

YOUR HONOR.

ESTIMATE IS ONLY A PREDICTION AND THAT THE COURT CANNOT INFORM

YOU OF THE GUIDELINE SENTENCING RANGE UNTIL A FULL PRESENTENCE

24

MS. BATSON: YES, SIR, YOUR HONOR.

GUILTY TO COUNT 5?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR, I UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: PARAGRAPH 11 STATES THAT THIS PLEA

AGREEMENT IS BETWEEN YOU AND THE U.S. ATTORNEY FOR THE EASTERN

DISTRICT OF TEXAS AND DOES NOT PROTECT YOU FROM PROSECUTION BY

ANY OTHER PROSECUTOR. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: PARAGRAPH 12 STATES THAT THIS IS YOUR ENTIRE PLEA AGREEMENT AND THAT THERE ARE NO OTHER PROMISES
THAT YOU'RE RELYING UPON OTHER THAN WHAT'S CONTAINED IN WRITING IN THIS PLEA AGREEMENT. IS THAT TRUE?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: DID YOU --

MR. O'SUJI: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE ONE MINOR AGREEMENT THAT WE JUST STRUCK WITH THE GOVERNMENT.

MS. BATSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE DECIDED -THE GOVERNMENT HAS DECIDED TO SPEAK WITH MR. SMITH AFTER THE
PLEA; AND, SO, THERE MAY -- WE DIDN'T PUT A PROVISION IN HERE
BECAUSE THE DECISION HAD NOT BEEN MADE AT THAT TIME; BUT IF
MR. SMITH DOES PROVIDE SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE, THEN THE
GOVERNMENT MAY PROCEED UNDER EITHER A RULE 35(B) OR A 5K1 AT A
MUCH LATER DATE, DEPENDING ON THE INFORMATION THAT MR. SMITH
GIVES US. AND IT WAS JUST DECIDED PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING AND
ACTUALLY DURING THE PLEA AGREEMENT THAT WE WOULD TALK TO HIM
AFTER THIS.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, HAVE YOU EXPLAINED ALL 1 2 THAT TO HIM? MR. O'SUJI: YES, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 4 LET'S SEE. MR. SMITH, I'M INFORMED 5 ALL RIGHT. THAT ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT CONTAINED IN YOUR PLEA AGREEMENT, THAT 6 THE GOVERNMENT HAS AGREED TO EVALUATE WHATEVER ASSISTANCE YOU 7 GIVE TO THEM IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS 8 SUBSTANTIAL ENOUGH TO WARRANT A MOTION BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR A 9 DOWNWARD DEPARTURE IN YOUR SENTENCING. DO YOU UNDERSTAND 1.0 11 THAT? THE DEFENDANT: YES, I UNDERSTAND. 12 THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS MEANS THAT 13 THE GOVERNMENT WILL ASK YOU TO TALK ABOUT WHATEVER INFORMATION 14 YOU HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THAT INVOLVES OTHER CRIMES? DO YOU 15 UNDERSTAND? 16 THE DEFENDANT: YES, I UNDERSTAND. 17 THE COURT: AND YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE GOVERNMENT 18 WILL ASK YOU INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER PEOPLE THAT MAY HAVE BEEN 19 INVOLVED IN OTHER CRIMES? 20 THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR. 21 THE COURT: IF YOU COOPERATE AND IF YOU PROVIDE 22 INFORMATION TO THE GOVERNMENT, THEN THE GOVERNMENT WILL 23 EVALUATE THAT INFORMATION AND DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IN ITS 24 JUDGMENT YOUR COOPERATION AND YOUR INFORMATION THAT YOU 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROVIDE IS SUBSTANTIAL ENOUGH TO WARRANT A DOWNWARD DEPARTURE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THAT IS UP TO THE GOVERNMENT -- THAT IS, IT IS UP TO THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE -- TO MAKE THAT DECISION? THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR. THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT YOU COULD COOPERATE AND THE GOVERNMENT MAY DECIDE THAT YOUR INFORMATION IS NOT SUBSTANTIAL AND THEY WILL NOT ASK THIS COURT TO DEPART DOWNWARD? THE DEFENDANT: YES, I UNDERSTAND. THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF THE GOVERNMENT DOES DETERMINE YOUR COOPERATION TO BE -- THAT YOUR COOPERATION IS SUBSTANTIAL AND DOES ASK THE COURT TO DEPART DOWNWARD IN YOUR CASE, IT'S UP TO THE COURT, THEN, TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT TO GRANT SUCH A MOTION AND, IF THE COURT GRANTS THE MOTION, TO DECIDE BY HOW MUCH TO DEPART DOWNWARD? DO YOU

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

UNDERSTAND THAT?

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THAT THE GOVERNMENT WILL MAKE THE MOTION TO THE COURT OR THAT THE COURT WILL DEPART DOWNWARD?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, I UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: OKAY. PARAGRAPH 12 STATES THAT THIS
IS YOUR ENTIRE PLEA AGREEMENT AND THAT THERE IS NOTHING ELSE
YOU'RE RELYING UPON OTHER THAN WHAT WE'VE JUST TALKED ABOUT AS

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO PLEAD NOT GUILTY, YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY AND TO REPRESENTATION OF COUNSEL AT THAT TRIAL, YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO REQUIRE THE GOVERNMENT TO PROVE ITS CASE AGAINST YOU ON COUNTS 4 AND 5 BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO QUESTION THE GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES AND PRESENT YOUR OWN WITNESSES, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO SUBPOENA WITNESSES, AND YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO TESTIFY YOURSELF OR NOT TESTIFY AS YOU CHOOSE?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR, I DO UNDERSTAND THAT.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND IF YOU PLEAD GUILTY HERE, YOU WILL BE GIVING UP THAT RIGHT; AND THERE WILL BE NO TRIAL ON COUNTS 4 AND 5 OF THE INDICTMENT?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, I DO.

THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT ON COUNT 5 -- WHICH IS THE COUNT YOU'RE AGREEING TO PLEAD GUILTY TO -THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE THAT ON OR ABOUT

JANUARY 2ND, 2001, IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, THAT YOU KNOWINGLY USED, CARRIED, AND BRANDISHED A FIREARM DURING AND IN RELATION TO A CRIME OF VIOLENCE -- THAT BEING THE CRIME OF CARJACKING -- IN THAT YOU KNOWINGLY AND INTENTIONALLY TOOK A MOTOR VEHICLE THAT HAD BEEN TRANSPORTED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE FROM THE PERSON AND PRESENCE OF ANOTHER PERSON BY FORCE,

VIOLENCE, AND INTIMIDATION WITH THE INTENT TO CAUSE DEATH AND

SERIOUS BODILY INJURY? DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE ALL OF THAT AT A TRIAL?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. BATSON, WOULD YOU PRESENT AN INDEPENDENT BASIS FOR THIS PLEA?

MS. BATSON: YES.

THE UNITED STATES WOULD PROVE THROUGH THE SWORN
TESTIMONY OF CYNTHIA A. BRAWLEY, A SPECIAL AGENT WITH THE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, THAT ON JANUARY 2ND, 2001, IN
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, THE DEFENDANT, JOSEPH FRANKLIN
SMITH, DID KNOWINGLY AND INTENTIONALLY COMMIT A CARJACKING BY
FORCE AND VIOLENCE AND WITH THE INTENT TO CAUSE SERIOUS BODILY
INJURY OR DEATH WHEN HE STOLE A 2001 DODGE DURANGO FROM RONALD
CHANCE. DURING THE CARJACKING MR. SMITH PLACED A GUN TO
MR. RONALD CHANCE'S TEMPLE AND DEMANDED THE CAR KEYS AND THE
VEHICLE WHICH HE THEN TOOK BY INTIMIDATION, FORCE, AND
VIOLENCE WHEN HE STOLE IT.

SPECIAL AGENT BRAWLEY WOULD FURTHER TESTIFY THAT
THE 2001 DODGE DURANGO WAS NOT MANUFACTURED IN THE STATE OF
TEXAS; AND, THEREFORE, IT TRAVELED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE
PRIOR TO THIS CARJACKING INCIDENT.

AND THAT WOULD BE OUR EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. SMITH, YOU'VE HEARD
THE SUMMARY OF THE FACTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS PREPARED TO
PROVE AT TRIAL. DO YOU ADMIT THAT THOSE FACTS ARE TRUE?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: AND DO YOU ADMIT THAT ON OR ABOUT

JANUARY 2ND, 2001, YOU DID KNOWINGLY USE, CARRY, AND BRANDISH

A FIREARM AND COMMITTED THE CRIME OF CARJACKING BY TAKING A

MOTOR VEHICLE THAT HAD BEEN SHIPPED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE

FROM ANOTHER PERSON, RONALD CHANCE, AND YOU DID SO BY FORCE,

VIOLENCE, AND INTIMIDATION WITH THE INTENT TO CAUSE DEATH AND

SERIOUS BODILY INJURY?

THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: AT THIS TIME, THEN, I'M GOING TO ASK
YOU: HOW DO YOU WISH TO PLEAD, GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY, TO
COUNT 5 OF THE INDICTMENT?

THE DEFENDANT: GUILTY, SIR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT'S THE FINDING OF THE

COURT IN THE CASE OF THE UNITED STATES VERSUS JOSEPH FRANKLIN

SMITH THAT THE DEFENDANT IS FULLY COMPETENT AND CAPABLE OF

ENTERING AN INFORMED PLEA. HE IS AWARE OF THE NATURE OF THE

CHARGES AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS PLEA. HIS PLEA OF GUILTY

IS A KNOWING AND VOLUNTARY PLEA SUPPORTED BY AN INDEPENDENT

BASIS IN FACT CONTAINING EACH OF THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE

OFFENSE.

MR. SMITH, I HEREBY ACCEPT YOUR PLEA OF GUILTY TO COUNT 5 AND FIND YOU GUILTY OF COUNT 5 OF THE INDICTMENT. A PRESENTENCE REPORT WILL BE PREPARED ON COUNTS 1, 2, 3 AND 5; AND THEN WE'LL SET YOUR CASE FOR SENTENCING.

```
MR. O'SUJI: NO, JUDGE. NO, YOUR HONOR.
 1
                THE COURT: WHAT WOULD THIS PSYCHOLOGICAL
 2
 3
    EVALUATION TELL ME FOR SENTENCING PURPOSES?
                MR. O'SUJI: I AM NOT A DOCTOR, JUDGE. I HOPE
 4
    THAT THE REPORT WILL HOPEFULLY SPEAK FOR ITSELF FOR THIS
 5
    COURT, AND I HATE TO PUT MYSELF IN THAT POSITION TO TELL WHAT
 6
 7
    THE REPORT IS GOING TO SAY.
                THE COURT: YOU OBVIOUSLY HAVE SOME CONCERN, OR
8
    YOU WOULDN'T BE ASKING FOR THIS.
 9
                MR. O'SUJI: WELL, MR. SMITH HAD A ROUGH TEENAGE
10
11
    LIFE AND HAD --
                THE COURT: OH, ALL RIGHT. SO, YOU WILL -- IF THE
12
    REPORT SAYS WHAT YOU THINK IT WILL SAY, YOU MAY ASK FOR A
13
    DOWNWARD DEPARTURE BASED ON THAT?
14
                MR. O'SUJI: CERTAINLY, JUDGE. IT WILL BE AN
15
    ISSUE FOR US TO RAISE AT SENTENCING, YES.
16
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S
17
    POSITION ON THAT?
18
                MS. BATSON: YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT SURE THAT WE ARE
19
    READY TO AGREE TO THAT AT THIS TIME, ESPECIALLY IF THE
20
    GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR THAT. I'M NOT SURE
21
    THAT THAT'S EVER BEEN DONE FOR SENTENCING PURPOSES. YOU KNOW,
22
    IT'S USUALLY PAID FOR PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF ANY
23
    PROCEEDING. SO, IF THE COURT WANTS TO GO AHEAD AND ORDER IT,
24
```

THEN I GUESS IT'S UP TO THEM TO MAKE A SHOWING THAT WE SHOULD

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AND --

21

PAY FOR IT. BUT AT THIS TIME I CAN'T AGREE THAT WE SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE. THE COURT: YEAH. I SUPPOSE IT'S UP TO ME. I'VE NOT APPOINTED EXPERTS WHERE COUNSEL IS RETAINED GENERALLY. MS. TRIPLETT: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY, WE WERE RETAINED BY MRS. SMITH AND --IS MRS. SMITH IN THE COURTROOM? THE COURT: AUDIENCE MEMBER: YES, I AM. THE COURT: THIS IS HER RIGHT BACK HERE? MS. TRIPLETT: YES. AND SHE'S ON A LONG-TERM PAYMENT PLAN AS FAR AS PAYING OUR FEES FOR REPRESENTING HIM IN THIS MATTER. AND BASICALLY SHE'S HAVING TO GET ASSISTANCE WITH HER OWN MONTH-TO-MONTH EXPENSES AS SHE CONTINUES TO PAY US MONTHLY TO REPRESENT HIM, BASED UPON MY DISCUSSIONS WITH HER. I JUST DON'T BELIEVE THAT WITHOUT -- SHE WOULD HAVE TO TRY AND FIND SOMEBODY TO TRY AND BORROW SOME MONEY FROM TO PAY

THE COURT: WELL, LET ME --

MS. TRIPLETT: IT'S A HARDSHIP FOR HER.

FOR THIS. BASICALLY SHE'S BEEN TAPPED OUT WITH THE FIVE-DAY

TRIAL AND OUR FURTHER REPRESENTATION OF HIM IN THIS MATTER

THE COURT: WHY DO YOU WANT A PSYCHOLOGIST WHEN YOU CAN MAKE WHATEVER MOTION YOU WANT TO MAKE AT SENTENCING? IF YOU HAVE A MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE -- I MEAN, IF YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT HIS TEENAGE YEARS AND WHAT SORT OF FAMILY

SITUATION HE GREW UP WITH -- AND I'M NOT SAYING THAT THAT
WOULD HAVE A BEARING ON WHAT SENTENCE I WOULD IMPOSE, BUT
CERTAINLY YOU CAN TALK TO MRS. SMITH. YOU CAN TALK TO JOSEPH
SMITH AND GET THAT INFORMATION.

MR. O'SUJI: WELL, JUDGE, I AGREE WITH YOU

CERTAINLY; BUT WE BELIEVE THAT THE REPORT WILL HELP US TO

RESEARCH THE ISSUES WITH REGARD TO SENTENCING ON THIS MATTER

AND PRESENT A MORE PLAUSIBLE ARGUMENT FOR THE COURT. BUT

WITHOUT THAT, I THINK WE CAN'T DO ANY HINDSIGHT; AND WE WANT

TO BASE ANY AND ALL ISSUES THAT WE ARE GONNA RAISE BEFORE THIS

COURT --

THE COURT: WELL, YOU SEE, WHAT WILL HAPPEN IS
YOU'LL HIRE A PSYCHOLOGIST; AND THAT PSYCHOLOGIST WILL TELL
YOU ONE THING. THEN THE GOVERNMENT WILL FEEL LIKE IT MAY NEED
TO HIRE A PSYCHOLOGIST THAT WILL TELL ME SOMETHING COMPLETELY
DIFFERENT, DEPENDING ON WHO EMPLOYS THE PSYCHOLOGIST. I MEAN,
THAT MAY BE WHAT THE OUTCOME WOULD BE HERE.

MR. O'SUJI: AND WE HOPE THAT WILL BE THE CASE, JUDGE.

THE COURT: YOU HOPE THAT WILL BE THE CASE?

MS. TRIPLETT: NO, WE DON'T HOPE THAT WILL BE THE

CASE. I MEAN, IF NECESSARY, YOUR HONOR, WE COULD TALK WITH

THE GOVERNMENT ABOUT PSYCHIATRISTS THAT THEY HAVE FOUND TO BE

REPUTABLE. WE CAN PICK ONE OF THOSE TO EVALUATE HIM. WE

DON'T HAVE A PREFERENCE AS TO ONE PARTICULAR PSYCHOLOGIST

1.0

WE'RE GOING TO GO OUT THERE AND GET BECAUSE HE HAS ANY TYPE OF ALLIANCE TO DEFENSE IN THIS CASE. WE JUST FEEL THAT --

THE COURT: YEAH. I MEAN, ALL THE INFORMATION I
CAN GET HELPS ME WITH SENTENCING. OKAY? MR. SMITH IS A YOUNG
MAN. HE'S 20 YEARS OLD. HE'S ACCUSED OF SOME VERY SERIOUS
CRIMES. HE'S BEEN CONVICTED ALREADY OF A SERIOUS CRIME. HE'S
JUST PLED GUILTY AND JUST BEEN CONVICTED OF ANOTHER SERIOUS
CRIME. SO, ALL OF THE INFORMATION I CAN GET WILL HELP ME IN
DETERMINING WHAT IS AN APPROPRIATE SENTENCE FOR HIM.

BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT I WANT TO START APPOINTING

EXPERTS WHOSE HELP TO THE COURT IS TENUOUS AND KIND OF

UNCERTAIN RIGHT NOW. I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH HELP THAT'S GOING

TO GIVE ME. I DON'T REALLY KNOW THAT THERE ARE ANY GUIDELINES

FOR THIS, AND I SUPPOSE IT IS PURELY DISCRETIONARY IF I WANT

TO APPOINT SOMEONE. THEN THE GOVERNMENT WILL HAVE TO PAY FOR

IT.

MR. O'SUJI: AGAIN, IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT

MRS. SMITH, THE CLIENT'S MOTHER, IS NOT ABLE TO PAY FOR IT, WE

STILL ASK THE COURT TO HELP US APPROVE ONE FOR HIM.

THE COURT: YEAH. WELL, THERE WOULD NEED TO BE SOME LIMIT ON THE DOLLAR AMOUNT.

MR. O'SUJI: OKAY.

THE COURT: AND I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT

PSYCHOLOGISTS WOULD CHARGE TO -- I WOULD IMAGINE IT WOULD

PROBABLY BE ONE MEETING WITH MR. SMITH. WHAT DO YOU HAVE IN

IN THIS CASE AND YOU WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING.

		26
1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: NO.	
2	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S ALL RIGHT.	
3	YOU'RE EXCUSED. THANK YOU.	
4	[PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED, 10:21 A.M.]	
5	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	
6	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT	
7	TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS.	
8	Mutina Fricklam NOVEMBER 26, 2001	
9	CHRISTINA L. BICKHAM, CRR, RPR	·
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		